

Rules:

אין חבין לאדם אלא בפניו - One cannot do something disadvantageous to somebody without their consent

- סובין לאדם שלא בפניו - One can do something beneficial for somebody else without his consent

סיום - One is assumed to still be alive until proven otherwise

הפקר בית דין הפקר - The חכמים have the ability take away somebody's ownership of something to allow somebody else to acquire it

- The present status of something cannot be defined later, retroactively

Concepts and terms:

- When witnesses testify that they recognise the handwriting of the signatures in a document, in order to establish its validity

- שטר שחרור - The document given to a non-Jewish slave in order to free him

- A nation who converted but whose conversion was doubtful

עדי מסירה כרתי - The witnesses of the giving over of the κ are the main witnesses who are necessary for effecting the divorce or freedom

- Someone who is on their deathbed

- The most significant part of the אט λ which contains the details specific to this divorce

עופס - The general and generic part of the גע

- Once something has already been done (although it ideally shouldn't have been done)

- A document which prevents one's loans being cancelled by שמיטה

- An item which is designated to be the only form of returning a loan

אילונית - A woman who is incapable of having children

- Somebody born from illegal relations

- The first fruit which ripen that must be brought to the בית המקדש and are given to כהנים

בכסים משעבדים - Property which is sold by one who owes money and upon which the person who is owed the money has a hold to collect this



- The person responsible for financial matters of orphans until they grow up

- Damage which doesn't physically change the item
- One who steals property from people by threatening to kill them
- When members of a courtyard each place food in one of the houses so that it's as if they all have a share in that house, in order that they be permitted to carry there on שבת

- The point during שמיטה by which one must get rid of produce which has grown that year

עם הארץ - One who isn't trusted regarding tithing and עם הארץ laws - A messenger to deliver a גע on the man's behalf - A messenger to receive a שליח לקבלה on the woman's behalf - A woman who is aged 12-12½ years old



- The messenger delivering a גע validating it:
 - If a man outside of ארץ ישראל appoints a messenger to deliver a גע to his wife in that the בית דין, the messenger must testify in בית דין that the ארץ ישראל, the messenger must testify in בית דין that the ארץ ישראל, the messenger must testify in בית דין that the ארץ ישראל, the messenger must testify in בית דין that the עג was written and signed in front of him, because (a) those outside of ארץ ישראל), and (b) so that if the written the sake of that particular divorce ('לשמה'), and (b) so that if the husband will in the future claim that the גע is forged and it will be difficult to find witnesses to do 'קיום שערות' when witnesses testify that they recognise the handwriting of the signatures in a document, in order to establish its validity they will be able to rely on the testimony of this messenger.

רבן גמליאל: This applies even to one who brings a גע from a border city outside of ארץ ישראל.

ד' אליעזר: This applies even to one who brings a לוד לודים from לוד to לודים, even though the border went inwards and כפר לודים was surrounded on 3 sides by לוד and the reasons don't apply.

דכמים: The same applies to one who brings a ארץ ישראל to abroad.

- \rightarrow One who brings a ארץ ישראל from one country to another outside of ארץ ישראל needs to testify that it was written and signed in front of him too.
- → גע from within the same city, in a case where the two parts of the city are ruled by different people who are particular about people travelling to the other part.
- → אשקלון is the first city outside of ארץ ישראל on its east border, אשקלון on its south border and אשקלון on its north border.
 - יר' מאיר: One who brings a עכו doesn't need to make this statement, since the reasons don't apply.
- → If the messenger is deaf and dumb so isn't able to make the statement, 2 witnesses are required for קיום שטרות like usual, before the woman can remarry.
- → These laws also apply to one who writes a **'שטר שחרור'** document given to a non-Jewish slave in order to free him, since (a) it also needs to be written לשמה and (b) the master might claim forgery later.
 - הכמים: A document upon which a 'כותי' member of a particular nation who converted but whose conversion was doubtful signed is invalid, unless it's the first signature on a שטר שחרור, since the two witnesses need to sign in front of each other so the Jew who signed after him is evidence that he knows that this particular כותי can be trusted.
 - רבן גמליאל: Even if both witnesses who signed on the כותים, it's valid since they are trusted regarding these 2 types of documents.
 - חכמים: Although documents of loans and sales drawn up by non-Jewish courts can be relied upon, a שטר שחרור whose witnesses are non-Jewish is invalid since the document is not only for proof but is used to effect the actual divorce or freedom.



ינדי מסירה ברתי': It's valid, since 'עדי מסירה ברתי' – the witnesses of the giving over of the גע are the main witnesses who are necessary for effecting the divorce or freedom.

- If it was drawn up privately and its witnesses are non-Jewish, it's invalid so that people won't come to rely on them like Jewish witnesses.
- מאיר: One cannot give a שטר שחרור to somebody to receive it on behalf of his wife or slave, such that it take effect as soon as he receives it, since 'אין חבין לאדם אלא בפניו' one cannot do something disadvantageous to somebody without their consent.

יזכין לאדם שלא בפניו' אירור. He can do so with a יזכין לאדם שלא בפניו' - one can do something beneficial for somebody else without his consent, and freedom is considered to be an advantage.

- If a man appoints a messenger to deliver a νλ to his wife but he dies before the messenger fulfils his job, he shouldn't give her the νλ since she can no longer be divorced.
 - → If a 'שכיב מרע' one who is on their deathbed instructs that one of his items be given to a particular person and he then dies, the person does receive it since the שכיב מרע were concerned that if this were not the case then the שכיב would be distressed and die sooner.



- If the messenger testifies that both witnesses signed in front of him but only the יתורף' – most significant part of the גע which contains the details specific to this divorce – was written in front of him but not the 'טופס' – general and generic part of the א, it's
- הכמים: If the messenger brings a different witness who testifies that he saw it be written or signed, it's invalid since קיום שטרות requires 2 witnesses on the signatures unless it's all done by the messenger himself.

ב' יהודה: Even a different single witness is sufficient.

How a גע needs to be written:

דכמים: If the witnesses sign on the גע the night after it's written, it's invalid since the date written in it is too early and if she committed adultery between when it was written and signed then she won't be found guilty. ו": It's valid, since the reason for the date being written in the גע is so that the

husband isn't able to take the produce of her fields once they are divorced, and as soon

as the $\nu\lambda$ is written he loses this right, even before carrying out the divorce.

- Permanent ink must be used to write the V\u03b1.
- A Va can be written on anything which doesn't require a change before being given to the woman, e.g. if it's written on an animal's horn then the entire animal must be given to her as opposed to taking off its horn and giving her just the horn. a גע calls the תורה It can't be written on an animal or food, since the תורה "scroll".
 - → **חכמים**: If only the טופס was written in a state in which the גע requires a change, e.g. on something which is attached to the ground, it's valid 'בדיעבד' – once it's been done, even if it requires a change before the תורף and signatures are

מדרבנן It's invalid ר' יהודה.

- \rightarrow Transfer of ownership isn't considered to be a change to the κ itself, so a woman may write a *λ* and then give it to her husband who would then divorce her with it.
- גט A גי יהודה בן בתירא: A גע cannot be written on parchment which has been erased or **isn't fully processed**, since it can be altered and forged. עדי מסירה כרתי' It's valid, since 'עדי מסירה.
- Even a deaf and dumb person, fool or child can write a גע, as long as a normal adult is standing next to them and telling them what intentions they must have.
 - \rightarrow They cannot deliver a λ on behalf of the husband, since they lack a sufficient level of understanding to act on behalf of somebody else.
 - If he was in this state upon being appointed as a messenger, he can't deliver it based on this appointment even if he becomes a normal adult.
 - A non-lew can't be a messenger, since the concept of a $\nu\lambda$ doesn't apply to him.



- A woman's mother-in-law, sister-in-law, woman who is also married to her husband, husband's brother's wife and step-daughter aren't trusted if they testify that her husband died, since they are suspected of wanting her to remarry and then be divorced from both husbands when her real husband appears. However, they are trusted if they bring her \(\mu\lambda\) and testify that it was written and signed in front of them, since (a) even if the husband later comes and claims forgery he won't be believed and she'll be able to continue living with her new husband, and (b) the \(\mu\lambda\) is proof for her words.
- If a man appoints his wife to deliver a בית דין who should appoint a messenger to give her the גע in order to carry out the divorce, she needs to testify in that it was written and signed in front of her since she's functioning as his messenger.



- A νλ is only valid if the scribe has the details of the particular divorce (i.e. who is being divorced) in mind whilst writing it.
 - → **חכמים:** The **טופס** of both a גע and other documents doesn't need to be written לשמה, so that scribes can prepare documents in advance, but תופס the סופס of other documents must be written לשמה just like the גע.

מדרבנן :ר' יהודה, the מדרבנן of a גע and other documents must be written לשמה, so that one doesn't come to writing the לשמה of a אלשמה, which is a requirement מדאורייתא.

גט of a גט must be written לשמה הורף.

• Laws concerning the delivery of a גע:

- If a **messenger delivering a νλ loses it** but finds it before enough time has passed for somebody else to have passed by it, it's still valid.
 - → If it's inside his own pouch or case, it's valid even if he finds it later.
- A messenger delivering a גע can deliver it even if he left the man when he was old and ill, since there is a 'חוקת קיום' an assumption that somebody is still alive until proven otherwise.
 - → A כהן who is married to a תרומה even whilst her husband is abroad, based on his חזקת קיום.
 - ightarrow A קרבן חטאת can be offered for somebody who sent it from abroad.
 - → ר' אלעזר בן פרטא: One who is (1) inside a city which is under siege, (2) on a ship which is in the midst of a storm, or (3) being taken to be judged regarding a capital case still has a חוקת קיום.
 - However, if (1) the enemy had entered the city, (2) the ship had capsized or (3) he is being taken to be killed by a non-Jewish court, then it's considered a doubt whether he is alive or dead, so whether he is a בהן his wife may not eat תרומה.
 - → If somebody always gives his תרומה to the same כהנים, such that all other כהנים, such that all other תרומה have given up hope of receiving the תרומה from him, they have relinquished their rights to this person's תרומה and so he can lend money to the סיס who he gives תרומה to and stipulate that he return the loan by allowing him to keep the תרומה. He can separate the תרומה and keep it and sell it, assuming that this כהן still alive because of his חוקת קיום.
 - If this כהן dies, he needs to take permission from the inheritors to continue this arrangement instead of them giving money.



- o If he had lent the money in front of בית דין, it's considered to be like a condition of the loan and he doesn't need the inheritors' permission. This is to encourage people to lend money to בהנים and poor people (since the same applies to מעשר עני which is given to a poor person).
- → If somebody sets aside a pile of produce to separate tithes from it on behalf of other produce, he can declare part of it to be tithes and assume that it still exists.
 - יאלעזר בן שמוע: If he finds that that produce is no longer there, he needs to be concerned that any tithes he separated from there in the past 24 hours weren't valid.
 - O ה'י יהודה: If he set aside wine, he needs to check that the wine hasn't turned into vinegar (which cannot be used for separating tithes on behalf of other wine) at the 3 points in the year that wine commonly turns into vinegar.
- If the **messenger becomes ill**, he can appoint another messenger to deliver the $\nu\lambda$, although in general he shouldn't do so in case the husband will retract from his appointment of the first messenger when hearing that he appointed somebody else.
 - → If the husband told the messenger to deliver it only if the woman gives his a particular item belonging to the husband, he can't appoint another messenger in case he doesn't relay the instructions clearly and the divorce will be unknowingly invalid.
 - → If the messenger came from outside of ארץ ישראל, he can set up a בית דין and testify that the בית דין was written and signed in front of him and then בית דין can appoint another messenger to deliver the גע.



- Things which were decreed 'מפני תיקון העולם' because of a large concern:
 - After sending a messenger to deliver a νλ to one's wife, he can cancel the appointing and thereby invalidate the divorce by: (1) telling the messenger or by sending a messenger to tell the messenger before he has given the νλ that he is cancelling his appointing; (2) telling his wife or by sending a messenger to tell his wife that he is cancelling the appointing of the messenger to give her the νλ.
 - → רבן גמליאל הזקן decreed that one cannot cancel it by stating this in front of דין, in case the woman will remarry another man under the assumption that she is divorced.
 - מבן גמליאל הזקן decreed that all of the names which the man and woman have in different places must be written in the גע, so that people in a different location won't doubt the validity of the divorce.
 - A widow may not collect money for her כתבה from her husband's inheritors unless she swears that she hasn't yet received it, but רבן גמליאל הזקן decreed that she should make a רבן גמליאל הזקן to prohibit herself from benefitting from something if she is lying, and this way she'll be able to receive her כתבה since the בית דין won't suspect her of lying, unlike if she would swear.
 - Although עדי מסירה כרתי, witnesses must still sign on the או itself in case the witnesses of the delivery of the או die or can't be found.
 - מרוזבול a document which prevents one's loans being cancelled by שמיטה so that people will be willing to lend money near to the end of שמיטה.

Decrees concerning slaves:

- **הכמים**: If a **slave is captured** and the master gives up hope of getting him back, and somebody else ransoms him, he becomes a free man unless this person ransomed him in order that he be his own slave.
 - רבן שמעון בן גמליאל: He goes back to being a slave of his original master, so that a slave doesn't give himself over to capturers in order to reach his freedom.
- מבמים: If one borrows money and makes his slave an 'אפותיקי' an item which is designated to be the only form of returning a loan but then frees him, the lender must free him and the slave must pay him his value.
 - רבן שמעון בן גמליאל: The original owner must pay the slave's value, since it's all due to him.
- בית הלל originally said that if a slave is owned by two people and one of them frees him, he serves his remaining master and is considered to be fully his every other day, but later on they agreed with בית שמאי that the remaining master must free him and the slave owes him half of his value, so that he be able to get married (to a Jew).



- If somebody sells his non-Jewish slave (who is obligated to keep all מצוות that a woman must keep) to a non-Jew or to somebody outside of ארץ ישראל, he must buy him back and he goes free, as a punishment for putting him in a situation where he is unable to keep all or some of the מצוות.
- People shouldn't ransom captives for extremely high prices, in order not to encourage more capturing in the future.
 - → תכמים: People shouldn't try to help captives to escape, so that other captives aren't treated more harshly in the future.
 - רבן שמעון בן גמליאל: This is true only if there are currently other captives who won't escape, but they don't need to be concerned regarding future captives.
 - → People shouldn't buy מווות or מווות from non-Jews for higher than their value, in order not to encourage them to steal these things.
- One who divorces his wife because of a rumour that she committed adultery is told that he will not be allowed to remarry her, so that if it's discovered that the rumour was false he can't claim that the divorce was only with that intention in mind so was invalid.
- הודה 'ז': If one divorces his wife since she made a ר' יהודה which the public knew about, he may not remarry her as a punishment for her making a אובר which can't be annulled. ד' מאיר וווי ווי ווי של to annul as opposed to the husband himself, he is told that he will not be allowed to remarry her, so that if he later claims that had he known that a נדר can be annulled by a תלמיד חכם he wouldn't have divorced her so the divorce was invalid. He hasn't got this claim if it's a אונה he himself could have annulled.
 - ד' אלעזר: This isn't a claim, since a man doesn't want his wife to be ashamed by going to annul her בית דין. Rather, if it's a אובר which can be annulled by the husband then he could claim that had he known that he himself was able to annul the יד then he wouldn't have divorced her. However, even if she made a אוכר which he couldn't annul and would have no claim later on, he is still told that he won't be able to remarry her, in order not to differentiate between the cases.
- אילונית: If one divorces his wife, claiming that she's an 'אילונית' woman who is incapable of having children, he is told that he won't be able to remarry her, so that if she remarries another man and has children he won't be able to claim that his divorce was invalid and that her children are 'ממורים' somebody born from illegal relations.

 "הכמים: He may remarry her, since the divorce is fully valid and no condition was made.
 - → 'הודה' 'הודה' 'הודה' : If after having children with her new husband, she now claims her כתבה from her original husband, she is told not to claim her כתבה since then the husband could say that had he known he's need to pay her ממורים he wouldn't have divorced her so the divorce was invalid and her children are ממורים.



- If somebody **sells himself and his children** as slaves to non-Jews 3 times, people **shouldn't pay to free him, but should free his children after he dies** so that they don't follow the ways of their non-Jewish master.
- Since produce grown in a field in ארץ ישראל which is owned by a non-Jew is still obligated in tithes, people would willingly sell their fields to non-Jews, so the חכמים said that if one sells his field to a non-Jew and after the fruit ripen a Jew buys it from him, the buyer is exempt from bringing 'ביכורים' the first fruit which ripen that must be brought to the בית המקדש and are given to כהנים so that people see that selling fields in ארץ ישראל to non-Jews reduces their sanctity. However, this caused people to stop buying fields back from non-Jews, thinking that the sanctity isn't recovered once bought back by a Jew. Therefore, the מביכורים removed their decree and the buyer is obligated to bring ביכורים.



Collecting different types of land:

- 1) If a person who is **responsible for damage** caused to somebody else compensates him with land, he must pay with his highest-quality land, so that people are discouraged from causing damage to others.
- 2) A **borrower** who pays back with land must pay using medium-quality land, so that people are encouraged to lend money.
- 3) תכמים: A woman's בתבה can be paid using the lowest-quality land, since women don't need to be encouraged to get married since they anyway want to. needs to be paid using medium-quality land.
- One cannot collect from 'נכסים משעבדים' property which is sold by one who owes money and upon which the person who is owed the money has a hold to collect this as long as he has other property which he hasn't sold, even if it's worse quality.
- If **the person who owes money dies**, the orphans who inherit him can pay from the lowest-quality land.
- If ראובן steals ראובן steals אמעון 'כמים can take back the field and לוי can collect the amount that he paid for the field and invested in improving it from נכסים which שמעבדים sold, but he can collect compensation for produce which he took off the field and the amount that the land went up in value above that which he invested in it only from property which שמעון hasn't sold. This is because these things have no limit to their value and those who buy the נכסים משעבדים can't be expected to take this into account when buying the field.
 - → For this reason, when a man dies, his wife and daughters are supported from his inheritance but not from נכסים משעבדים which have been sold.
- If **somebody finds a lost item** and the owner claims that it was attached to another item and that the finder has taken it for himself, he **doesn't need to swear** that he didn't take it, in order not to discourage people from returning lost items.
- An **'אפוטרופוס'** person responsible for financial matters of orphans until they grow up must tithe the produce of the orphans' fields and he can do so even though it doesn't belong to him, because 'הפקר בית דין הפקר have the ability take away somebody's ownership of something to allow somebody else to acquire it.
- מנים: When the orphans grow up, the אפוטרופוס must swear that he hasn't taken anything for himself, since this won't put him off accepting the job. But if בית דין appoint him then he doesn't need to swear at the end, so that he isn't discouraged from accepting the job.
 - אבא שאול: The opposite is true, since one who is appointed by בית דין gains a good reputation of being trustworthy so won't be put off, unlike one who is just doing a favour for the father.
- If one causes somebody's item to go down in value due to 'היזק שאינו ניכר' damage which doesn't physically change the item, for example he transfers טומאה to his חרומה, he is חייב מדרבנן to pay if done intentionally.

TIME MISHNA summaries ועיטין

- → כהנים who invalidate somebody's קרבן by having wrong intentions during the service are obligated to compensate the person bringing the קרבן.
- ר' יוחנן בן גדגדה:
 - 1. A **deaf and dumb girl can be divorced** even if her marriage is מדאורייתא due to her father marrying her off before the age of בת מצוה, since a woman's consent isn't required for divorce.
 - 2. A בת ישראל to a כהן under the age of בת מצוה who is married בת ישראל to a may eat מדרבנן and there isn't a concern that she'll come to eat תרומה מדאורייתא.
 - → Her husband inherits her even if she dies before reaching בת מצוה.
 - 3. One who steals a beam of wood and builds it into a building isn't required to give back the beam, rather its value, so that he not be discouraged from doing תשובה.
 - 4. If a קרבן חטאת is discovered to have been a stolen animal, it's valid so that don't withhold themselves from offering סרבנות out of fear of their being invalid and therefore forbidden to offer.
 - → If it's known to the public that it was stolen, this is more severe so it's invalid.
- If one gives over his property to a 'סיקריקון' one who steals property from people by threatening to kill them, the transfer of ownership is considered to be invalid unless it's during a time when it was legal to kill and oppress Jews, in which case the owner would give up hope of getting back the field and he would lose his ownership.
 - → The original law was that if somebody pays the original owner for the property, the original owner can claim that he never intended to sell it and was just scared of the סיקריקון, as long as the buyer first bought it from the סיקריקון.

 Later, the law became that the sale is valid, but the buyer must pay the original owner ¼ of the property's value, since the סיקריקון would sell it cheaply since he didn't pay anything to attain it. Additionally, if the original owner is able to and wishes to buy it, he is given priority.
 - ורבי: If the original owner doesn't buy it within 12 months, anybody can buy it, as long as they give the original owner $\frac{1}{4}$ of its value.
 - If a field is designated for the owner's wife and somebody pays the owner for it and then his wife, she can claim that she agreed only in order to please her husband but didn't intend to sell it.
- A deaf and dumb person and a child can make a transfer of ownership of movable items by signalling, via the mechanism of הפקר בית דין הפקר.

Laws which were established because of דרכי שלום:

- A קריאת התורה is called up first to for קריאת, even if there is somebody greater present.
- If members of a courtyard had a fixed house for 'עירובי חצירות' when members of a courtyard each place food in one of the houses so that it's as if they all have a share in that house, in order that they be permitted to carry there on שבת then it should continue being put in the same house.



- Those whose pits are nearest to the source of a stream of water have priority for filling up their pits with water.
- חכמים: It's forbidden to take (1) an animal found in somebody's trap, even though the owner of the trap hasn't yet acquired it, (2) that which a deaf and dumb person, fool or child finds, and (3) the olives which fall to the bottom of a tree as a poor person cuts them off at the top of the tree.
 - ור' יוסי: It's considered to already have been acquired, so it's outright theft.
- One shouldn't prevent non-Jewish poor people from taking his tithes from his field.
- One may lend a person who isn't trusted to observe the שמיטה laws utensils which are generally used for processing food, even after the 'ביעור' the point during שמיטה by which one must get rid of produce which has grown that year as long as they have another use.
- A woman may lend her neighbour who is an 'עם הארץ' one who isn't trusted regarding tithing and טומאה laws utensils used for food, but may not help her make her dough once it has become wet, so as not to assist her making טמא dough and thus having to burn the 'חלה' portion of dough which is given to a.
- One can **encourage a non-Jew in their work** in their fields during שמיטה, and one can **greet them with Hashem's name**.

TIME MISHNA summaries ועיטין

- A messenger to carry out a divorce:
 - A man can appoint a 'שליח להולכה' messenger to deliver a גע and he is able to retract from the divorce until the גע reaches his wife.
 - A woman can appoint a 'שליח לקבלה' messenger to receive a גע on the woman's behalf, and if the husband gives the גע to him without specifying that he wants him to only be a שליח להולכה then the divorce takes effect as soon as he receives it.
 - If a woman appoints a שליח לקבלה, she requires 2 witnesses for the appointment and for the giving over of the גע to the messenger.
 - מערה: A 'זערה: A 'זערה: woman who is aged 12-12½ years old can receive her גערה: and so can her father on her behalf, since she is still under his authority.

 "כמים: Only her father can receive her גע, since she is under one authority."
 - A **girl who is too young** to understand the significance of a **νλ** and isn't capable to look after it cannot be divorced.
 - A girl under the age of בת מצוה isn't able to appoint a שליח, so she is only ever divorced once the גע reaches her herself.
 - → Her father can appoint a שליח לקבלה.
 - If one appoints a messenger to deliver a νλ to his wife in a certain location, the divorce is invalid if he gives it to her in a different location, unless it's clear that he was just giving the messenger directions for where he'll find her.
 - → שליח לקבלה: If a woman appoints a שליח לקבלה to receive the גע in a certain location, it's invalid if he receives it elsewhere.

 "It's valid, since a woman's consent isn't required for a divorce so it's assumed to be just for the sake of directions.
 - → If a woman appoints a messenger to bring her the νλ from a certain location, it's always valid since the divorce anyway only takes effect once it reaches her.
 - If a woman appoints a messenger to bring her the גע, she may continue eating תרומה
 until she herself receives the שליח לקבלה.
 - → חבמים: If she appoints him to receive it in a certain location, she may continue eating תרומה for the amount of time that it takes to reach that location.
 ב' אליעזר: She's forbidden immediately, since the divorce is valid even if she receives it elsewhere.
 - If one talks to 2 people regarding divorcing his wife and instructs them to write a אגרת or סי and give it to his wife, or to carry out 'גירושין', they should write, sign and deliver a אגרת to his wife since these words all refer to divorce, even though they can be interpreted literally to mean otherwise.
 - If somebody is being **led to be killed** or is setting out on a long and possibly **dangerous journey** and he appoints people to write a *Vλ*, it's assumed that he appoints them to deliver it too.

<mark>ר' שמעון שזורי</mark>: This also applies to an **ill person**.



- \rightarrow This also applies to one who fell into a pit and calls out that he is declaring whoever hears him to write a ν for his wife.
- חבמים: If a **healthy person tells people** to write a גע for his wife and they do so and also deliver it, the divorce is invalid since they weren't appointed to deliver it.

 רבן שמעון בן גמליאל: If he commits suicide soon after, it's valid since his intention was evidently for it to be delivered too.
- If one instructs 2 people to give a $\nu\lambda$ to his wife, they can write it too since this is necessary for them to fulfil the task so is included in their appointment.
 - → ר' מאיר and ר' מאיר: If one instructs 3 people to give a גע to his wife, they can even instruct other people to write and deliver it since he has appointed them to be like a בית דין to organise a גע being delivered to his wife.

 ד' יוסי: They themselves must carry out the divorce.
- If one **instructs 10 people to write a v** for his wife, not all of them must sign unless he specifies in his instructions that they should all be involved in its writing.



- If one appoints or cancels the appointment of a messenger whilst **not in a normal mental state**, it's meaningless.
- If one becomes dumb and people ask him if he wants them to write a νλ for him to divorce his wife and he **nods his head**, they should check that his gestures are meaningful, by asking him 3 questions with a positive answer and 3 with a negative one.
- 1) If one gives his wife a $\nu\lambda$ and states that he's divorcing her if he dies, it's understood that he wishes to divorce her when he dies so it's invalid.
- 2) If he says it should be a divorce from today if he dies, he wants it to take effect retroactively from now if he dies before his wife so it is valid.
 - From then until he dies, they may not have relations together since if he dies first then it will emerge that they had relations after the divorce, and if there are witnesses then it could also be considered new קידושין.
 - o Therefore, they shouldn't be alone with each other, and being in the presence of her personal slave isn't sufficient since this won't prevent them from having relations.
- 3) If he says it should a divorce today and after he dies, it's considered a doubtful **\(\nu\)**, since it's unclear if he changed his mind in the middle for it to take effect only after he dies, or if he wants it to take effect retroactively.
 - Therefore, if he dies without children, his wife should perform חליצה.
- 4) ב' יהודה: If he says it should be a divorce when he is alive and after his death, he means for the divorce to take effect retroactively from a moment before his death, so she's considered fully married until then.

ר' יוסי: She remains in a state of being possibly divorced, since 'אין ברירה' – the present status of something cannot be defined later, retroactively – so if another man has relations with her then he will קרבן אשם תלוי even if this emerges not to be the moment before the husband's death.

Conditions attached to the divorce:

- If one divorces his wife with a condition using the words "על מנת" (on condition), the divorce takes effect immediately if the condition ends up being fulfilled.
 - → On the other hand, if he uses the word "¬\" (if), the divorce takes effect once the condition is fulfilled unless specified otherwise, so if he is dies beforehand then it's invalid.
 - הכמים: If one tells people that if he doesn't return within 12 months, they should write and deliver a גע to his wife, it's invalid if they write it within the 12 months.

ר' יוסי: If he first tells them to write and deliver a גע and then says that it should be done if he doesn't return within 12 months, it's valid.



- If one makes the divorce conditional upon her giving him 200 **111 within 30 days**, she needs to give it to him within the 30 days for it to be valid, and the time limit isn't viewed as just a way of urging her to fulfil the condition quickly.
- **חכמים**: If the condition is that she give him his coat and **she loses his coat**, the divorce will be invalid since she is unable to fulfil the condition.
 - רבן שמעון בן גמליאל: She can fulfil it by giving its value.
- חבמים: If the condition is for her **to nurse their child**, she needs to do so within the child's first 2 years since otherwise it's not normal and wasn't included in the condition. כ' יהודה: She needs to do it within his first 18 months.
 - → If the child dies, the divorce is valid since the condition was only made for as long as there is a purpose in it.
 - → חכמים: If the condition is that she nurse their child for 2 years or serve his father for 2 years, he is clearly more particular about the condition being fulfilled and if his child or father dies, or his father doesn't want her to serve him, the divorce is invalid.
 - רבן שמעון בן גמליאל: It's valid since the condition no longer has purpose and she isn't the cause of this.
- If the condition is that he **not see her for a period of 30 days**, it's valid even if this occurs a while later and we aren't concerned that he nullified it, as long as he wasn't alone with her since he gave her the υλ.



• Giving over the גע to one's wife:

- If one throws the νλ to his wife **in her own property**, she is divorced as soon as it is within her property since she can acquire things via her property.
 - → She can also receive it in her basket inside her husband's property, since that place in his property is considered to be lent to her to place her basket there.
 - → If he throws it somewhere which isn't owned by either of them, it's a valid divorce if it lands within 4 אמות of her, where the דבנן are lenient and consider this a way of acquiring something.
 - The same applies to one who throws something to a woman in order to perform קידושין, whose laws are equated with laws of divorce.
 - The same applies to a lender who tells the person who owes him money to return the money to him in a way which would be valid according to the laws of divorce.
 - If he throws the money to the lender and it lands in between them and the lender hasn't got more control over it than the borrower, it's considered that he has returned half of it if something happens to it before the lender takes it.
- It must be clear to the woman either when she receives the νλ or whilst she is still holding onto it that she is being divorced.
- בית שמאי: One can divorce his wife with a גע which had been written on an earlier date, as long as it was signed on the same day it was written.

 בית הלל: If they were alone together since the time that it was written, then he cannot divorce her with it since it might lead to a situation where she gives birth after the גע was written and later on it will appear that this person had been born after his parents had already been divorced.

Invalid divorces:

- During a time period where there is fear of the ruler of that place, the date written in the νλ should be written according the reign of that ruler.
- If the date, location or names are written incorrectly in the νλ, it's invalid and if she remarries another man based on this divorce, the following consequences apply:
 - she must be divorced with a $\nu\lambda$ from both men, as a punishment for her not confirming properly that her $\nu\lambda$ is valid
 - she doesn't receive the כתבה money
 - even the second man who wasn't Halachically married to her doesn't need to compensate her for what he used of her נכסי מלוג and their decrease in value, or for what she borrowed in order to support herself.
 - a child born from the second man before she is divorced from her first husband is a ממור מדאורייתא, and a child born from the second man after she is divorced or one born from the first husband once he returns is a ממור מדרבנן



- if either man is a כהן, they may not become טמא to bury her
- they don't have a husband's rights to what she finds or earns, or to annul her vows, since these are in return for his obligations towards his wife
- she is invalidated from marrying a כהן and eating מעשר ראשון and eating מעשר ראשון
- her sons don't receive 'כתבת בנין דכרין' a condition written in the כתבה that her money and property which her husband inherits from her shall be inherited when he dies only by his sons which he had with her
- if they die before divorcing her, she requires חליצה.
 - אובן האובן marries his brother שמעון s relative, e.g. daughter called שמעון, as well as another woman called חנה , and he dies without children, is exempt from doing שמעון or חליצה with either of them, since he's forbidden to marry one of them. If חנה marries another man and then דוה is discovered to be an אילונית, which means that her original marriage was invalid due to being a 'מקח טעות' acquisition made based on misinformation, then it emerges that ממעון שמעון marries anybody before doing חנה was forbidden to marry anybody before doing חנה and these consequences would apply.
 - The same applies if none of ראובן's wives were related to שמעון and he performed חנה with יבום too, and after חנה too, and after אילונית.
 - \rightarrow If a scribe mistakenly gives the גע to the woman and the receipt for the כתבה to the man and the man and women give each other their documents, she hasn't received a גע from her husband so isn't divorced, and if she remarries then these consequences would apply.
 - ר' אליעזר: If they discover that the husband in in possession of the אטם and the woman in possession of the receipt only after she had remarried, they don't need to assume that they never gave each other the correct document.
 - → If a woman remarries another man after receiving a 'הנט קרח' a אט) גע מקושר 'גע קרח' of a יהן which must be folded and sewn and signed on each fold) which doesn't have witnesses on each fold so is invalid due to the concern that the husband instructed 5 people to be involved in the writing of the אט then these consequences would apply.
 - בן ננס can be validated by anyone signing on the remaining folds, even an invalid witness, since it's only invalid because of its appearance.
 - ר' **עקיבא**: One who is generally a valid witness must sign, so that people don't come to rely on the invalid witnesses for other cases.



• בית שמאי: If one writes a גע but changes his mind and doesn't divorce his wife, she is forbidden כהן to marry a כהן since this is considered 'ריח הגע' – (literally) 'the smell of a גע'.

בית הלל: She is permitted, even if he gives it to her with a condition attached and the condition isn't fulfilled.

• בית שמאי: If one divorces his wife and is then seen by 2 witnesses to be alone with her, she doesn't require another גע.

בית הלל: She requires another גע, because בית הלל: ביאה: She requires another בית הלל - witnesses who see them being alone together is as good as witnesses of the actual relations – since it's so likely that they had relations and so that it's not forbidden relations it's assumed that he is doing it in order to perform קידושין with her.

→ If she had only received קידושין from the man who divorced her, they aren't assumed to have had relations so she doesn't require another גע.



• אליעזר: One who divorces his wife and tells her that he is **permitting her to marry** anybody except for a particular man, it's a valid divorce.

ותכמים: It's invalid, since the תורה requires that her first marriage to be "cut off" totally.

- \rightarrow He can take it and then give it back to her for it to be valid.
- \rightarrow If this condition was written inside the $\nu\lambda$, it's invalid and another document must be used, since the entire $\nu\lambda$ was written with this condition in mind.
- → If the particular person who he stated that he isn't permitting her to marry is somebody who isn't able to perform קידושין with her regardless of the continuation of this marriage, e.g. her father, it's valid.
 - If it's somebody who is forbidden to marry her regardless of this marriage, but the ממזר, then it's invalid since it's this marriage which is stopping that קידושין being valid.
- The writing and signing of the גע:
 - תורף: The אני only needs to state that she is permitted to marry anyone else.

ר' יהודה: It needs to state that (1) he is divorcing her (2) using this גע.

- → A גע שחרור needs to state that the slave is a free man.
- If **the husband writes and only he signs his אגט**, it's invalid מדרבנן in case people come to validate a אט which is written and signed only by the scribe, but if she remarries based on it then we do consider her to be divorced from her original husband.
 - \rightarrow The same applies if the date isn't written on the $\nu\lambda$, or less than 2 valid witnesses signed on it.

עדי מסירה כרתי Even if no witnesses signed on it, it's valid since עדי מסירה כרתי.

- If 2 identical גיטין are sent via two men with the same messenger and they get mixed up, they must both be given to each wife.
- If the signatures are at the bottom of a document with 5 גיטין, only the bottom איטין, only the bottom is valid, unless there is only 1 טופס for all the גיטין, in which case the signatures validate all of the גיטין.
- If 2 גיטין are written side by side under which there is a pair of Hebrew signatures and then a pair of Greek signatures, only the גע written on the right side of the page (where the Hebrew signatures begin and thus are for the sake of that גע) is valid, since the Greek witnesses may have copied the Hebrew witnesses and written from right to left, which would be an invalid signature.
 - \rightarrow If the order of signatures is Hebrew, Greek, Hebrew, Greek, both גיטין are invalid since this concern exists from the second signature onwards.
- If the טג is written in 2 columns and the signatures are at the bottom of the 2nd column, it's valid if it's clear that it wasn't cut from 2 separate גיטין.
- If the signatures are not at the bottom of the מדרבנן, it's invalid מדרבנן.



- \rightarrow If 2 גיטין are attached at their top, such that the top איטין is always going to be upside down, and witnesses sign in the middle, both גיטין are invalid since the signatures are above both of them.
 - If they are attached at their bottom, then only the top ν_{λ} is valid since the signatures are below it in the same direction.
 - If the top of one $\nu\lambda$ is attached to the bottom of another $\nu\lambda$, and the witnesses sign in the middle, only the top $\nu\lambda$ is valid.
- The $\nu\lambda$ and the signatures can be written in any language, even if they are different to each other, as long as the witnesses understand what is written in the $\nu\lambda$.
- If **the scribe and another witness sign**, it's valid and we aren't concerned that the husband appointed 2 witnesses and one of them told the scribe to sign instead of him, in which case the husband could cancel his appointing them messengers and it would be invalid, since one who is appointed to sign a νλ cannot pass it on to somebody else.
- The signature should ideally include (1) the witness's name and (2) father's name and (3) state that he is a witness.
 - → If 2 out of 3 of these are stated, it's valid.
- If בית דין force a man to divorce his wife, it's valid since every Jew deep down wishes to do the right thing.
 - → This doesn't apply if non-Jews force him, unless they were appointed by בית דין.
- If 2 witnesses testify that they saw wedding celebrations of a particular woman, she
 is assumed to have received קידושין
 and she may not remarry before receiving a גע
 - → If 2 witnesses testify that she was divorced, her status is assumed to be that of a divorcee, since her status of being married was given in the same way.
- בית שמאי: One may only divorce his wife if 2 witnesses testify that she committed adultery.

בית הלל: He may divorce her for any sign of her not taking a lot of care of him or the household needs.

<mark>ר' עקיבא:</mark> He doesn't need any excuse to divorce her.